## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,056	03/19/2004	John E. Meyers	ZIM0061-03	7979
	7590 . 01/03/200 HNOLOGY - BAKER	EXAMINER		
111 EAST WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800			STEWART, ALVIN J	
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/805,056	MEYERS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alvin J. Stewart	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		–· action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
-	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 03/13/06; 07/19/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pappas et al US Patent 5,824,096.

Pappas et al discloses a prosthetic knee (100) comprising a femoral component (200) having a hinge post (207), a hinge post extension (302), a tibial component (500), a hinge post extension aperture (503), whereby the femoral component is rotatable about the longitudinal axis of the hinge post extension (see col. 6, lines 17-27). A meniscal component (400), a condylar bearing surface (203), a condylar bearing surface (203) and a cooperative bearing surface (407).

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosredon US Patent 6,117,175.

Bosredon discloses a prosthetic femoral component comprising a femoral component body (1), a hinge post (23) rotatably connected to said femoral component body, a bearing box (14)connected to the femoral component body, the bearing box interposed between the hinge post and the femoral component body and a hyperextension stop (end of element 14, close to element structure 19, see Fig. 2.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection

Art Unit: 3738

is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 12-14, 18 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,485,519 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both cases disclose a prosthetic knee having a femoral component, a hinge post, a hinge post extension, a tibial component including a hinge post extension aperture, a meniscal component and a hyperextension stop and a hyperextension stop surface.

Claims 17-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 23 and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,800 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both cases disclose a prosthetic knee having a femoral component, a hinge post, a hinge post extension, a tibial component including a hinge post extension aperture, a meniscal component and a hyperextension stop and a hyperextension stop surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3738

December 14, 2006.